



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/622,480	07/21/2003	Jean-Christophe Simon	032487-005 4520	
75	90 02/07/2006	EXAMINER		
BURNS, DOA	NE, SWECKER & M	YU, GINA C		
P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, V	22313-1404	1617		
		DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)		· . · ·					
Office Action Summary		10/622,48) `	SIMON ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Gina C. Yu		1617					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)⊠ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	• •		. .						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		r 	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.

121:

Claims 1-48, drawn to a composition, classified in class 424, subclass
 401.

- II. Claims 49-52, drawn to a method of making up a support, classified in class 132, subclass 202.
- III. Claims 53 and 54, drawn to skin, lips, hair or integuments having cosmetic makeup applied thereon, classified in class 132, subclass 216.
- IV. Claim 55, drawn to a toilet kit for making up skin, lips, hair or integuments, classified in class 132, subclass 286,

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, making up a support can be practiced by another materially different cosmetic compositions that are available in the art.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the cosmetic composition and the product which the cosmetic

composition is applied thereon are not capable of use together because, while the composition is directly applied to make up the skin, lips, hair, or integuments of the user, the claimed article in invention III can be toys, wigs, artificial nails, etc. Thus these inventions are not capable of use together and have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects.

Page 3

Inventions I and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product in invention IV is deemed to be useful as a set of compositions that may be combined to produce the composition of invention I, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions III and II are related as product and process of use. In the instant case, skin, lips, hair, or integuments as claimed in invention III can be made up in a materially different process of cosmetic method than claimed in invention II, e.g., by using a composition comprising different ingredients.

Art Unit: 1617

Inventions IV and II are related as product and process of use. In the instant case, making up a support can be practiced by another materially different cosmetic product or set of products that are available in the art.

Inventions III and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. In the instant case, the intermediate product in invention IV is deemed to be useful as a set of coloring compositions to make up the product of invention III, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not required for the other Groups. These inventions are distinct and have acquired a separate status in the art and different classification.

Because of their recognized divergent subject matter, examining all of these inventions would impose undue burden on the examiner. Thus restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Art Unit: 1617

(i) light reflective particles of a natural or synthetic substrate at least partially coated with at least one layer of at least one metal;

- (ii) light reflective particles of a synthetic substrate at least partially coated with at least one layer of at least one metallic compound;
- (iii) light reflective particles which comprise a stack of at least two layers of materials having different refractive indices, at least one of such layers optionally comprising a polymer;
 - (iv) light reflective metal oxide particles. See instant claims 1, 49, 53, and 55.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 15-48, 50-52, and 54 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

• Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 9:00AM until 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,480 Page 7

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gina Yu Patent Examiner

